Adaptive modelling of multi-phase flow



Benjamin Faigle*, Rainer Helmig*, Ivar Aavatsmark, Bernd Flemisch*

*Department of Hydromechanics and Modeling of Hydrosystems



Motivation

Most simulations of multiphase flow scenarios require vast computer resources, due to the large time-span of interest and the huge size of the simulation domain. Such cases include:

- Remediation of contaminated soils,
- Geothermal applications,



Large-Scale Examples

Johansen benchmark

- Injection of CO, over 25 years.
- Simulation time 50 years.
- Multi-physics and adaptive grid.



• Sequestration of CO2 in the subsurface.





- Only small parts of the domain are subject to complex physical processes or are of major interest:
- Model complexity differs locally.
- Detailed refinement necessary locally.
 - \rightarrow Adaptive modeling beneficial!

Adaptive Strategies

Adaptive grid



Refinement leads to hanging nodes: two-point flux approximation fails.

Saturation S_{a} -0.6 -0.4 0.2-Permeability | 3e-13 2.56e-14 3.64e-13 Transport Faigle et. al (2013)Y X

CO2-injection and geothermal usage

- Strongly heterogeneous soil parameters because of heavily fractured formation. Jamal et. al (2012)
- Complex physics: Non-isothermal conditions including compositional compressible two-phase flow. Interacting processes within one domain.

Multi-physics framework

Apply different numerical models locally according to their "sub-domain":



Numerical Model

Implicit pressure equation

• Single phase: $c_{\alpha}\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\varrho_{\alpha}} q^{\kappa} + \varepsilon,$









• Multi-phase (volume balance):



Explicit transport equation

$$\frac{\partial C^{\kappa}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \left(\sum_{\alpha} X^{\kappa}_{\alpha} \varrho_{\alpha} \mathbf{V}_{\alpha}\right) + q^{\kappa}.$$



- Simulation of the Tensleep formation on an adaptive grid.
- Further application of the framework, for example on a large-scale remediation scenario emplying the 3p3c multi-physics model.
- Increase numerical stability: improve time-stepping.
- Combination with multi-scale methods to up-scale soil parameters.
- Support of the German Research Foundation is gratefully acknowledged. DFG

References

- •I. Aavatsmark et. al (2008): A compact multipoint flux approximation method with improved robustness.
 - Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations, 24:1329-1360, 2008.
- •G. Acs et. al (1985): General Purpose Compositional Model. Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal, 25:543-552.
- •B. Faigle et. al (2013): Efficient multi-physics modelling with adaptive grid-refinement using a mpfa method, Computational Geosciences, accepted.
- •M. Jamal et. al (2013): Effect of DFN Upscaling on History Matching and Prediction of Naturally Fractured Reservoirs. In 75th EAGE Conference & Exhibition incorporating SPE EUROPEC
- •F. Schäfer et. al (2012): The regional pressure impact of co2 storage: a showcase study from the north German basin. Environmental Earth Sciences, 65(7):2037-2049.