





Using probabilistic well vulnerability criteria for a riskbased preventive drinking water safety concept

R. Enzenhoefer, W. Nowak and R. Helmig

University of Stuttgart Institute for Hydraulic Engineering Department of Hydromechanics and Modeling of Hydrosystems















Need for risk-based decision making



Probabilistic Well Vulnerability Framework



Results & Discussion



Groundwater Quality 2010, Zürich, 13th - 18th June 2010







From catchment to risk management

- Clean Groundwater
- Protection Zones
 - Advective-based
- Water Safety Plans
 - Hazard identificatio
 - Risk control

Need for more information 🥥



Modified after: http://civil.sharif.edu/~ataie/gwsite/gw%20image/ground2large.jpg







4/14

Well Vulnerability Criteria (Frind et. al 2006)

- (a) The time between a spill event and arrival of peak concentration at the well,
- (b) The level of peak concentration relative to the spill concentration,
- (c) The time to breach a given threshold concentration (e.g. a drinking-water standard) and
- (d) The time of exposure (exceeding the threshold concentration)



13th - 18th June 2010





Well Vulnerability Criteria (Frind et. al 2006)

- (a) The time between a spill event and arrival of peak concentration at the well,
- (b) The level of peak concentration relative to the spill concentration,
- (c) The time to breach a given threshold concentration (e.g. a drinking-water standard) and
- (d) The time of exposure (exceeding the threshold concentration)









A non-perfect world & Study Goal

Borden site, Canada

- How homogeneous is nature?
 - Are deterministic approaches valid?
 - Uncertain factors:
 - Data
 - Parameter
 - Model



- Risk and Uncertainty are like brother and sister
 - Probabilistic vulnerability maps
 - Catchment scale
 - Mass flux quantification
 - Well Vulnerability Isopercentiles (VIP)

Probabilistic Exposure Risk Assessment R = entry prop. x damage







Distinguish between dilution and uncertainty



Macrodispersion shows dilution of mass where there is none!







O P

Good reason to use Monte Carlo!







Illustrative example



Expensive simulation:

- Monte Carlo
- Fine discretization
- Time-dependent concentration profile







Concentration profile (Temporal moments)

- Model reduction
- BTC characteristics
 - mean
 - dispersion
 - ...
- Reverse formulation
- ADE-based equ.:

$$-\nabla \cdot (vm_k) - \nabla \cdot (D \nabla m_k) = -k \cdot m_{k-1}$$

Full concentration history w/ Maximum Entropy in log-time

$$c(t) = \frac{1}{t} \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{K} \lambda_k \cdot \ln(t^k)\right)$$

Groundwater Quality 2010, Zürich, 13th - 18th June 2010



Further: asymmetry (m_3) , compactness (m_4) ,...





Probabilistic framework











Results

pus



Isopercentiles: 0.1, 0.5, 0.9

300

250

200

150

100

100

50

250

of simulations: n=100

Groundwater Quality 2010, Zürich, 13th - 18th June 2010





Discussion

Valuable Management Tool:

- Vulnerability isopercentile (VIP) maps are easy to understand
- VIPs support catchment managers with indispensible information
- Allows prioritization of contamination sites
- Justification to regulatory authorities (planning permission)

Technical features:

- Conditioning method can be arbitrarily chosen (e.g. GLUE, EnKF)
- \succ Approach is independent of dimensionality and boundary cond.
- Computational savings and information gain justify model reduction







- Data assimilation by Bayesian GLUE
- Collaboration with DTU extension to longterm sources

Adopting the approach to a fracture-matrix system
Real case application to a fractured system











Thanks to ...







Independent Junior Research Group "Stochastic modelling of hydrosystems" within the DFG cluster of excellence in Simulation Technology (EXC 310/1)

Philip Binning and Mads Troldborg (DTU Copenhagen, Denmark)

Claus Haslauer for the Borden site picture



Groundwater Quality 2010, Zürich, 13th - 18th June 2010